

SR 79 Website Feedback

Comments¹

Project SR-79 Why are they even considering to have a highway alongside of an elementary school, when the pollution from the vehicles will have a direct impact on the childrens breathing? There would be a lot more asthma and trouble breathing from all the fumes from the cars. Not to mention all the other health issues associated with breathing car exhaust! They just finished building the Cawston Elementary School and are planning to build a high school next to the elementary school.

The Sanderson corridor is already "de-facto" Rte 79. Nobody uses State Street. Choose the most economical/ least intrusive alignment that follows the Sanderson/Cawston corridors.

The location of SR79 is currently Sanderson Ave regardless of the official designation of State Street. It needs to be officially designated as Sanderson until it enters the congested area of Hemet. At that point it needs to be altered to serve the needs of the new High School (Tahquitz) in the same way 79 serves the needs of Chaparrel HS in Temecula. There are no infrastructure facilities at this time to serve the new Hemet HS.

463040020-5 I am curious as to WHY this route is considered when there are fairy shrimp every year in the seasonal pond which develops on the Northwest corner of East Grand and El Callado. My kids and I help out them and protect them and the tadpoles each year, there are more in the area I am sure; you just choose to come out when they are either not developed yet or already dead from idiots driving through the pond in their 4x4's and truck, motorcycles and such! Seems to me an endangered species is just that and should be protected. I also do not understand why you need to go and tear up good folks homes when you could just as easy, if not easier, direct the route at the point it meets with Domengoni Parkway, to Domengoni parkway and then route if off of Warren road to continue up to Ramona Expressway. That way would NOT displace families, some who will be homeless if their current residences are taken away. There are NO homes between Florida Avenue and Domengoni Parkway on Warren road, and the property to the western side of the road is more than ample to expand the roadway and become the realigned hwy 79, or is that too hard because it is the most cost efficient, while displacing the least amount of people as well?

I own a number of parcels on Simpson and Stowe and have been informed that you are now down to two alternatives for the area that I am in. I have notice in your design that you have cloverleaves for some street crossing and not for others. Does that mean that 79 will be elevated for the street crossing on Simpson or will there be a signal there? It makes a big difference on my property.

To whom it may concern, I am looking for a GIS file of the Hwy 79 realignment. One of our properties will be effected and we are looking to make some exhibits. Our properth is on the corner of warren & Flordia in San Jacinto Ca. if this if possible it would be greatly appricated.

¹Of the 99 individuals who visited the SR 79 Realignment Project website, 15 individuals provided specific comments about the Project. Comments represent the original feedback submitted through the website. These comments have not been altered except for the removal of personal information, including names, associations, e-mail and street addresses, and telephone numbers, to protect the privacy of the individuals who submitted feedback. The remainder of individuals who visited the website requested only that they be added to the Project's e-mail distribution list.

SR 79 Website Feedback

Comments¹

At the Winchester Homeowners' Association meeting on July 7, 2005, I was informed that a meeting had taken place on July 5th in the City of Hemet regarding the Highway 79 realignment. As a resident of Winchester and an owner of property potentially impacted by the realignment, I did not receive any notification of this meeting. I understand that the City of Hemet has proposed 2 additional routes and interchanges, which are in my opinion to benefit only developers with interest in the City of Hemet. There are several property owners within the community of Winchester impacted by these suggested alignments and you chose not to notify any of us properly to allow us to attend the meeting.

For several years, the community of Winchester has insisted that the interchanges allow for accessibility to Winchester and these proposals do not. An interchange in the middle of El Callado and East Grand Avenue does not provide adequate access to the town of Winchester. This interchange also impacts several large ranch parcels, not vacant land. However, even the vacant and in the area is farmed for grains sold locally which benefit all residents provide adequate access either. In addition, that specific route would run straight through the hill West of Stowe Road just how large that hill is? I do understand that someone has to be impacted by this highway, however do not hide the happen, notify us. All of us! I would appreciate a reply to this email and am submitting copies to those noted below.

[Names, addresses, and telephone numbers removed]

CC:

[Names and associations removed]

Hemet Landfill (Closed) may lie within the realignment area off Esplanade. If so, any road construction or disturbance at the landfill site would constitute a postclosure land use and must comply with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 21190.

We would like to know when this website will be "updated". We moved here, in July, and knew nothing about the SR79 project....were told to check the website for information, but need to have more current info, if possible. Thank you for any help you can give us. [Name removed]

how will the hwy 79 project affect property values? how are right of way access values arrived at? I own property on the proposed route and would like to know when the final route will be chosen and what the process is to purchase our property. do you have a final route picked yet? Please let me know the most likely route.

I think that on the graphic "Locally Preferred Alternatives", someone mislabeled the placement of Esplanade Ave. You have 7th St labelled as Esplanade... and Esplanade is actually running parallel to 7th, 1/2 a mile to the south. I was working on a Specific Plan at NE corner of Warren and Esplanade and was confused by your labeling. The other graphics appear to be labeled OK. Please make the correction and upload a corrected graphic to the website. Thanks.

[Name and association removed]

Comment #2

On the "thank you" response that goes back to the public after a comment is made, please check the spelling of the word "submission" and make the needed correction.

[Name removed]

¹Of the 99 individuals who visited the SR 79 Realignment Project website, 15 individuals provided specific comments about the Project. Comments represent the original feedback submitted through the website. These comments have not been altered except for the removal of personal information, including names, associations, e-mail and street addresses, and telephone numbers, to protect the privacy of the individuals who submitted feedback. The remainder of individuals who visited the website requested only that they be added to the Project's e-mail distribution list.

SR 79 Website Feedback

Comments¹

We would like to get a more detailed map of the hwy79 routed considered where it crossed Devonshire Ave. in Hemet. Our church has property in that area and very close to start of construction. We want to know if we should hold up our CUP process?

[Name and association removed]

I just purchased my home in Stony Mountain Ranch Development located at Esplanade and Warren Roads. I am opposed to widening of SR 79 because of the increase in traffic noise.

I would like to know the scheduled dates when a property owner must give access for tests to be conducted. My property is fully fenced and I have animals that need to be put away for such entry for your workers. please keep me up to date via email as to the status/tests/project of this new highway.

We had a meeting at our resort (Mt Shadows) and we were told that one of the proposed routes would take up to 165 of our Park Models. After looking at your maps it appears that all of the routes are to the west of warren road which would not affect our resort located at Stetson & Cawston, just South of the Hemet Ryan airport and South of the RR tracks.

I realize that my parcel would not be directly affected, but by taking 165 of our lots, it would affect me monetarily.

Please advise as to which is true.

Thanks,

[Name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address removed]

I live in the area in question regarding the Stetson Realignment. What is the current situation regarding that process. Will my home be in jeopardy?

¹Of the 99 individuals who visited the SR 79 Realignment Project website, 15 individuals provided specific comments about the Project. Comments represent the original feedback submitted through the website. These comments have not been altered except for the removal of personal information, including names, associations, e-mail and street addresses, and telephone numbers, to protect the privacy of the individuals who submitted feedback. The remainder of individuals who visited the website requested only that they be added to the Project's e-mail distribution list.